## 2018 Questionnaire for Prosecuting Attorney Candidates in Washington State

Please send responses to **prosecutors@aclu-wa.org** by 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, October 2.

## Introduction

The United States leads the world in incarceration rates. We represent 5% of the world's population but house 25% of the people behind bars. Blacks, Latinos, and Native Americans are incarcerated at higher rates than whites; according to data published by the U.S. Census and U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics, Black people are 6 times as likely as white people to be incarcerated in Washington. These data have led to calls for criminal justice reform by a broad and bipartisan range of legislative and law enforcement leaders here and across the nation.

The data have also highlighted the impacts of generations of institutionalized racism on educational and economic opportunities, which are inextricably intertwined with racial disparities in neighborhoods experiencing persistent poverty, higher crime rates, and harsher criminal justice system responses. Public investment strategies have not yet caught up to the identified needs. For example, over the past two decades, research advances in brain development science confirm the critical role of adult mentorship of young people throughout their teens and well into their early 20s—years when the risk of criminal justice system involvement is highest. However, public investment in after-school and evening programs that strengthen bonds among families, schools, and communities has either failed to keep pace or been cut entirely.

Criminal justice policy is set primarily at the state and local levels. Prosecutors wield significant influence with legislators and policymakers who determine what supports will be available to individuals and families to address behavioral health needs and what investments will be made in communities to address poverty and other systemic conditions contributing to the prevalence of crime. Prosecutors also exercise tremendous control over who will come into the criminal justice system, how each case will be resolved, and whether incarceration will be a part of that resolution. The elected Prosecuting Attorneys for Washington's 39 counties set policies and standards that define what success looks like for the deputy prosecuting attorneys who report to them.

## **Metrics for Success**

What metrics do you believe should be used to determine whether the Office of the Prosecuting Attorney is succeeding in its mission and improving the criminal justice system? How would you realign local, state, and federal budget appropriations to support your vision of how we could most effectively accomplish the following:

- 1. Prevent crime in the first place;
- 2. Provide crime victims what they need;
- 3. Hold people accountable for the harms they cause; and
- 4. Bring recidivism rates down as close as possible to zero?

### **Bias**

What training, supervision, and review policies and practices would you implement to identify and eliminate explicit and implicit biases in the screening, filing, and prosecution of cases by your office, and to promote equity and inclusion in your workplace?

#### Bail

In Washington, up to 70% of those in our county jails are being held pretrial because they cannot afford bail. Pretrial detention is a leading cause of mass incarceration and racial disparity in Washington's criminal legal system. What specific steps have you taken or will you take, if elected, to reduce or eliminate the imposition of cash bail and reduce the pretrial detention rate in the county jail?

## **Disabilities**

People with intellectual disabilities have a 4 to 10 times higher risk of becoming victims of crime when compared to those without disabilities. They are also over-represented in the prison population: while they comprise just 2 to 3 percent of the general population, they represent 4 to 10 percent of the prison population, with even greater disparities in juvenile detention facilities and jails. Would you support cross-training and coordination among schools, police departments, victim service providers, and judges and courtroom staff to promote a comprehensive community-based response to situations involving people with intellectual and other developmental disabilities so they can experience equitable justice? If so, how?

## **Drug Policy**

Drug arrests have risen in Washington over the last few years – more than 12,000 in 2016. Do you believe that people with substance use disorders should face criminal penalties? Do you believe people who use drugs and do not have substance use disorders should face criminal penalties? What types of charging practices, diversion programs, and treatment programs do you support?

#### Mental Health

According to the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services – "demand for all forms of mental health services far outweighs what is currently available including competency evaluation and restoration services." What specific steps will you take as prosecutor to keep people with mental illness out of the criminal justice system and to get them into community treatment?

## **Prostitution**

In 2011, King County and the City of Seattle launched Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD), the first known pre-booking diversion program for people arrested for narcotics or prostitution offenses in the United States. In prostitution cases, offering people diversion to services at the first point of police contact, before any formal charges have been filed by a prosecutor, is intended to reduce the harms experienced by individuals who are trafficked or are engaging in the sex trades due to complex economic, mental health, and substance use reasons. What are your thoughts on this approach?

# **Automated Decision Making**

Increasingly, judges are turning to risk-assessment tools created by private companies to make bail, sentencing, and supervision decisions. The private vendors do not disclose the calculation formulas and processes that produce the tools' recommendations. Significant evidence suggests the recommendations produced by these tools amplify existing racial biases in our criminal justice system. What recommendations would you make about whether and how the county should use such tools, and how the county should monitor and evaluate their reliability and effectiveness?

## Juvenile Justice

In 2018, the Washington Legislature passed SB 6550, which expands the ability of prosecutors to divert most juvenile offenders, including those who have committed felony offenses or who have prior history. If you are elected, how will your office use the expanded authority granted by SB 6550 to implement diversion programs that are responsive to the needs of youth and prevent prosecution and incarceration?

# Reentry

The Washington State Institute for Public Policy released a 2017 report detailing the effectiveness of several existing programs in combatting recidivism and aiding reentry. If elected, how will you evaluate and utilize current programs to aid reentering individuals in your community? If elected, how will you and your office consider new and innovative ways to ensure successful reentry?

## **Hate Crimes**

According to Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) data compiled by the FBI, hate crimes have been on the rise in the U.S. since 2014. What instructions would you provide deputy prosecuting attorneys and support staff about the investigation, charging, and prosecution of hate crimes by your office? What actions would you take as a public official to discourage hate crimes in your county?

# **Immigration**

For immigrants, being convicted of a crime can result in double punishment. They may go to jail, but unlike citizens, they may also face the devastating punishment of deportation - even for a simple misdemeanor. These severe consequences happen even if they have a green card, a U.S. citizen spouse and children, or longstanding community ties. In the case of Padilla v. Kentucky, the U.S. Supreme Court made clear that prosecutors have the power to consider immigration consequences when they are making decisions about how to resolve a case, resulting in more just outcomes for everyone. When a conviction can lead to such disproportionate consequences even for a low-level offense, how do you plan to ensure just outcomes for immigrant defendants and their families?